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Assessment aim

1. To what extent are the pan-European criteria and indicators, or national sets derived from it, being implemented at national and sub-national level?
2. To what fields are C&I being applied?
3. What factors influence the effectiveness of C&I?
Methodology

1. Enquiry structured according to the project’s working definition
2. Send to 46 FOREST EUROPE national correspondents
3. 39 responses received, 80 national specialists
4. Comprehensive insight in how C&I are implemented at national level
**Assessment results**

VI. Use in national forest policies

This part of the enquiry focuses on how the pan-European Criteria and Indicators, or a national set derived from it has been used to formulate, implement, monitor and evaluate national or sub-national forest programmes, policies and/or plans of a country.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>24.</th>
<th>Is there an explicit reference to the pan-European C&amp;I set in any major policy document? Do introduce your national forest program or equivalent?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Click to choose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Please provide reference</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

25. Have the pan-European C&I or a national set derived from it, been used to:

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Formulate national or sub-national forest programmes, policies and/or plans. Click to choose. Please provide reference.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Implement national or sub-national forest programmes, policies and/or plans. Click to choose. Please provide reference.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Monitor national or sub-national forest programmes, policies and/or plans. Click to choose. Please provide reference.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Evaluate national or sub-national forest programmes, policies and/or plans. Click to choose. Please provide reference.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Other, please specify</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

26. In your opinion were all criteria considered at all stages of the process? If not, which criteria received insufficient attention? C.1: Maintenance and appropriate enhancement of forest resources; and their contribution to global carbon cycles; C.2: Maintenance of forest ecosystem health and vitality, etc. See Annex 3 for further reference.

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Criteria that received most attention. Criteria. Please explain why.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Criteria that received less attention. Criteria. Please explain why.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

27. Could you provide one or more concrete examples or lessons learned?
National C&I sets

1. Basis for development of national C&I sets in 25 countries
2. Country specific indicators: biodiversity, carbon cycle, forest health, water, climate change, ecosystem services
3. Wide range of stakeholder participation
National reports based on C&I

1. Comprehensive national by 19 countries
2. Next reports between 2012 and 2015
A framework for dialogue and communication

1. Mostly used to support the dialogue and communication with: **policy and decision makers**, and **forestry sector**
2. Challenging for communication with **other sectors** and **general public**
Most challenging pan-European indicators

- 6.4 Expenditure for services
- 3.4 Services
- 3.3 Non-wood goods
Assessing SFM at national level

1. **National level: NFP processes, forest polices and strategies**

2. **Useful tool for distinguishing certain trends and to make comparisons**
   
   > e.g. impacts of forest policy on the forests and forest management
Use in national forest policies

1. Used to formulate, implement, monitor and evaluate national or sub-national forest programmes and policies.
2. C1 received most attention at all stages of the process.
Communication with other sectors

1. Sustainability sector
2. Biodiversity sector
3. Climate change sector
4. Other forest-related sectors
5. Limitations:
   - inconsistent definitions developed by the different sectors
   - no dialogue between the forest and the other related sectors
Promoting SFM in Europe

1. Strength at pan-European level: reaching a common understanding
2. Strengths at national level framework for dialogue and communication amongst forest sector stakeholders
CI-SFM national assessments
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Syntheses of the cumulative findings and lessons learnt form the past
CI-SFM national assessments

Thanks to the national correspondents for answering the enquiry!
Questions and comments, please!

markus.lier@metla.fi